

124

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15831-2024

Date of decision: 12.07.2024

K. K. JAIN (KEWAL KUMAR JAIN) AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS


...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. B. K. Bagri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant one annual increment to the petitioners as the same has not been granted to them in the last year preceding their retirement despite the fact that they have completed requisite 6 months or more of service required by an employee as the Rule 10 of the HCS (RP) Rules 2008 dated 30.12.2008 (Annexure P-1) has since been quashed by this Court in CWP-14857-2023, titled as *Jagdish Raj Gupta versus State of Haryana and others* dated 15.04.2024 (Annexure P-7) and further to direct the respondents to re-fix the pension of the petitioners by granting them annual increment in their last retiring year i.e. 2015 as before their retirement the petitioners have completed requisite 6 months of service for grant of annual increment with all



consequential benefits of arrears of pay and pension etc. along with interest @ 12% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners had served a legal notice dated 03.06.2024 (Annexure P-6) to the respondents, but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case the aforesaid legal notice dated 03.06.2024 (Annexure P-6) is considered and decided by the respondents in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of all the respondents. She submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, he has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for the reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana/competent authority to consider and decide the legal notice dated 03.06.2024 (Annexure P-6) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

12.07.2024
Chetan Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No