



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

231

CWP-18212-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 22.05.2024

M/s Chechi Construction Co.

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG Punjab.

Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.3.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present petition is to the memo No.10/05/2016-ES 1(3)/1043 dated 15.06.2022 issued by respondent No.1, whereby respondent No.2 has been directed to take action against the petitioner and also stay against the order dated 28.07.2022 passed by respondent No.3 pursuant to the memo dated 15.06.2022.

2. Reply by way of affidavit dated 22.05.2024 along with Annexures on behalf of respondent No.1, 2 and 4 has been filed today in the Court and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel contends that the present petition has been rendered infructuous since the prayer of the petitioner in the present case was against the order dated 15.06.2022 whereby the enlistment of the



231

CWP-18212-2022 (O&M)

petitioner had been cancelled. She contends that as per the Certificate for Enlistment of Contractor bearing enlistment No.PRBDB/Class-1/982 dated 25.01.2024, the petitioner has already been enlisted till 22.01.2026.

4. Under the given circumstances, so far as the substantive grievance of the petitioner against his de-enlistment is concerned, the said grievance stands redressed. While keeping other questions open, the present petition is **disposed of as having been rendered infructuous** in view of the re-enlistment of the petitioner as a Contractor with the respondent-Department.

5. The petitioner may, if so advised, move an appropriate application for listing the matter for hearing in case any grievance still survives.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

22.05.2024
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No