



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

305

1. CRM-M-33070-2024
Date of decision: 21.08.2024

Anil Kumar and othersPetitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

2. CRM-M-33250-2024
Date of decision: 21.08.2024

Gyan ChauhanPetitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Yuvraj Shandilya, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ravi Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petitions are for quashing of Complaint bearing No.160-1/10/12 of 10.06.2010 titled as 'Harbans Singh Vs. Gian Chauhan and others' filed under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325 (subsequently deleted) 447, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 pending in the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ambala and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same including summoning order dated 19.05.2014, on the basis of



CRM-M-33070-2024
CRM-M-33250-2024

-2-

compromise (Annexure P-3) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 15.07.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 19.07.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ambala, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the complaint *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the complaint in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ambala and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid complaint and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed qua petitioners.

CRM-M-33070-2024

CRM-M-33250-2024

-3-

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms
of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

21.08.2024

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)

Vinay

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

:

:

Yes/No

Yes/No