

Neutral Citation No: 2024-PHHC-087069



-1-

CWP-15691-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

245

CWP-15691-2024

Decided on:12.07.2024

Shakti Rathee

.... Petitioner

Versus

Director School Education, Education Department Chandigarh

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. Standing Counsel for U.T. Chd and
Mr. Sachin Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. Standing Counsel for U.T. Chandigarh points out that the apprehension of the petitioner at this stage is not genuine, because no such decision has been taken by the Authorities and therefore, submits that the writ petition at this stage is preconceived. However, he informs the Court that as per notification dated 17.08.2001 issued to the Education Department, Chandigarh, there is a slight amendment in the column of essential qualification i.e. B.ed Degree is required with 45% marks alongwith the relevant teaching subject.
2. Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one with

Neutral Citation No: 2024.PHHC.087069



CWP-15691-2024

-2-

complete/comprehensive facts as have now been highlighted by Mr. Aman Bahri, Addl. Standing Counsel, U.T., Chandigarh.

3. Dismissed as withdrawn, with the liberty as sought and recorded hereabove.

4. However, it is clarified that in case, any decision adverse to the interest of the petitioner is taken, same be informed to the petitioner by passing a speaking order at the earliest. Moreover, it would be appreciated if the petitioner is afforded a reasonable opportunity to knock the doors of the Court in accordance with law.

12.07.2024
rashmi

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No