

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(262)

CWP-17821-2022

Date of decision: - 21.02.2024

Surekha

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manish Soni, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the selection and appointment of respondent No.5 to the post of Female Constable (HAP-DURGA-1) against Advt. No.04/2020 dated 30.12.2020, Category No.3.

2. Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.5 has submitted that till date, respondent No.5 has not been given appointment, thus, the prayer made in the writ petition for setting aside the selection and appointment of respondent No.5 to the post of Female Constable is premature.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner has

been given appointment and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh petition in case respondent No.5 is appointed and in case any cause is survived.

5. In view of the above statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

6. It is made clear that in case any such petition is filed by the petitioner, the same would be considered independently, in accordance with law.

February 21, 2024
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No