

**CRWP-6581-2024 (O&M)**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****106****CRWP-6581-2024(O&M)**

Date of Decision:- 17.07.2024

Gobind Singh and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Ranwant Singh Sangha, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.**CRM-W-889-2024**

This is an application u/s 482 Cr.P.C. for impleading SHO, Police Station Moonak, District Sangrur as respondent No.3 in the main case.

For the reasons stated in application, same is allowed. SHO, Police Station Moonak, District Sangrur is impleaded as respondent No.3 in main case and amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Application is disposed of accordingly.

CRWP-6581-2024

1. Petitioners Gobind Singh and Renu have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is

**CRWP-6581-2024 (O&M)****-2-**

aged about 25 years, copy of his Aadhar Card is Annexure P-4. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 24 years, copy of her aadhar card is Annexure P-3. They had performed marriage on 07.07.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Mandir Mata Shree Naina Devi Ji Devki Dham (Sangrur) is Annexure P-1 and marriage photographs are Annexure P-2. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sangrur, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of parents of petitioner No.2. Respondents No.4 to 8 are parents/relatives of petitioner No.2 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family of petitioner No.2, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8, considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

CRWP-6581-2024 (O&M)

-3-

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

17.07.2024

Sunil Devi

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No