



CRM-49671-2024 in/and
CRM-M-32560-2024

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(135+264) CRM-49671-2024 in/and
CRM-M-32560-2024
Date of Decision : 13.12.2024

Bhawani Singh and another ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

CRM-49671-2024

Application for placing on record Annexures C-1 to C-5, with exemption from filing certified copies thereof, is allowed, as prayed for. Document Annexures C-1 to C-5, is taken on the record, subject to just exceptions.

CRM-M-32560-2024

1. Coordinate Bench of this Court, on dated 11.07.2024, had passed the hereinafter extracted order, upon the instant petition:-

“The prayer in this first petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.193 dated 16.06.2024 under Sections 148/149/294/323/506 of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Khol, District Rewari, Haryana (Annexure P-1). Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that



**CRM-49671-2024 in/and
CRM-M-32560-2024**

complainant is an advocate and son of petitioner No.1 is also an advocate. Both are practising at Rewari Court. He further that the dispute is between co-villagers, so there are chances of amicable settlement between the parties, so efforts for exploring the possibility of amicable settlement can be made and matter may be referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

Notice of motion to respondents for the purpose of settlement only and merit of the case would be seen afterwards.

Mr. Neeraj Yadav, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed Power of Attorney, which is taken on record.

The parties are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 18.07.2024 or any other date thereafter as directed.

For awaiting report, adjourned to 29.08.2024.

Till then arrest of the petitioners is stayed. ”

2. Today, the learned State counsel, after having instructions from the quarter concerned, stated that though the petitioners were not directed to join the investigation, however, pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioners had joined investigation and they are no longer required for further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 11.07.2024, is hereby made absolute, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

“(i) the petitioner(s) shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;



CRM-49671-2024 in/and
CRM-M-32560-2024

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall make himself/herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner(s) indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned ibid and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

December 13, 2024
Manpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No