

**CRWP-6551-2024****-1-****107****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRWP-6551-2024**

Date of Decision:- 12.07.2024

Tanya Nair and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Mukul Khatri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Tanya Nair and Priyanshu have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ directing official respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 as they are in live-in relationship.

2. It is argued that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 21 years, copy of her aadhar card is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No.2 is aged about 20 years, copy of his aadhar card is Annexure P-2. They are in live-in relationship. Copy of their live-in relationship deed dated 04.07.2024 is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Sonipat which is Annexure P-4. Petitioners are in live-in relationship without the consent and wishes of parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1. Respondents No.4 to 6 are

**CRWP-6551-2024****-2-**

family members of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State. Complete copy of the paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering the nature of litigation no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship against the wishes of their family, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6. It is the duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 09.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 6 considering threat perception, with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Protection Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

12.07.2024*sunil devi*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No