



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(116)

CWP No. 15910 of 2024
Date of Decision : 15.07.2024

Lalit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Manu K. Bhandari, Advocate with
Mr. Rohit Kataria, Advocate and
Mr. Manu Gaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the house rent allowance is not being extended to the petitioner despite the fact that the petitioner is not availing the Government Accommodation and is residing at his own cost. Learned counsel further submits that all the employees, who are not allotted the Government Accommodation are being extended the house rent allowance, hence, respondents be directed to grant the petitioner the house rent allowance for the period the same has not been granted to the petitioner along with arrears and interest.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the Justice Demand Notice dated 17.04.2023 (Annexure P-12A) but the same is still pending consideration



with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the Justice Demand Notice dated 17.04.2023 (Annexure P-12A) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 15, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No