



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.3817 of 2024
Date of Decision: 11.07.2024

Harpreet Singh
...Revisionist-Petitioner
Versus
Amritpreet Singh (now deceased) through his LRs
...Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (ORAL)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-plaintiff has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of direction to learned Additional District Judge, Patiala (for short ‘the Lower Appellate Court’) to decide the *Civil Appeal bearing No.618 of 2018* titled as ‘*Harpreet Singh vs. Amritpreet Singh*’ expeditiously, preferably within a period of three (03) months, while averring that the above-referred Civil Appeal had been filed in the year 2018 but the same has not yet been decided.

2. However, at the time of assisting the Court at the preliminary stage in the present revision-petition, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted his prayer to the issuance of direction to the Lower Appellate Court to decide the afore-mentioned Civil Appeal at the earliest possible.
3. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the facts that the petitioner



is stated to be 67 years old and thus, happens to be a Senior Citizen and the afore-referred Civil Appeal is pending adjudication for the last six (06) years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits thereof, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with the direction to the concerned Lower Appellate Court to decide the Civil Appeal under reference, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

July 11, 2024
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*