

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-23690-2014
DECIDED ON: 12.01.2024

BHALE RAMPETITIONER
VERSUS
PRESIDING OFFICER AND ANRRESPONDENTS

CWP-23694-2014
DECIDED ON: 12.01.2024

SANJAY KUMARPETITIONER
VERSUS
PRESIDING OFFICER AND ANRRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Ms. Shruti Rathour, Advocate for
Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.C. Dhanwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Order dated 09.01.2024 passed in both the writ petitions
says as under:-

***“CWP-23690-2014 and
CWP-23694-2014
BHALE RAM V/S PRESIDING OFFICER AND
ANR***

*Present: Ms. Shruti, Advocate for
Mr. Sumeet Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).
Mr. T.C. Dhanwal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

*During the course of short hearing, Mr.
T.C. Dhanwal, Advocate for respondent No.2 points
out that petitioners in both the writ petitions, have
settled the dispute outside the Court and have*

furnished separate undertakings, wherein, petitioners have undertaken to withdraw the present writ petitions.

As per the said undertakings, the dispute has been settled for an amount of Rs.1,25,000/- with petitioner-Bhale Ram (in CWP-23690- 2014) and for Rs.65,000/- with petitioner-Sanjay Kumar (in CWP-23694- 2014).

Faced with the situation, learned counsel for the petitioner(s) seeks some time to confirm the said factum of compromise.

Adjourned to 12.01.2024.

To be shown in the urgent list.

To be taken up at 10:00 a.m.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.”

2. Today, counsel for the petitioner(s) (in both the cases) makes a statement before this Court that factum of compromise and execution of affidavits by both the petitioners have been confirmed by her, from the petitioners. Therefore, she has already received the required instructions for withdrawal of the present writ petitions. Thus, she wishes to withdraw both the writ petitions.

3. Both the writ petitions stand disposed of, as having been withdrawn.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

12.01.2024
Lavisha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>