



CWP-16811-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CWP-16811-2024

Date of Decision : July 22, 2024

Jagjit Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Surmukh Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner is working as Kanungo and is entitled for promotion to the post of Naib Tehsildar and despite the fact that he is eligible, his name has not been included in the list of employees for inter se seniority dated 11.06.2024 (Annexure P-7), who are being considered for promotion to the post of Naib Tehsildar.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is going to superannuate on 31.08.2024 and therefore, the respondents be directed to consider the claim of the petitioner as well for promotion to the post of Naib Tehsildar.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the grievance raised in the present petition has already been raised by the



CWP-16811-2024

2

petitioners in the representation dated 15.05.2024 (Annexure P-10) which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

- 4. Notice of motion.
- 5. Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.
- 6. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation dated 15.05.2024 (Annexure P-10) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, after the decision any relief is to be extended to the petitioner, the same will be extended, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner for his information and necessary action.
- 7. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
- 8. Ordered accordingly.

July 22, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE