

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-32896-2024

Date of Decision:-30.07.2024

Sushil Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Haryana & Anr.

.....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate &
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG Haryana.


Mr. Rohit Rana, Advocate for the Complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

The Prayer in this petition under Section 439 Cr.PC is for the grant of regular bail in case FIR No.482 dated 01.07.2023 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 IPC (120-B IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Surajkund, Faridabad, Haryana.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. As the petitioner was in custody since 09.02.2024 but none of the 20 prosecution witnesses had been examined so far, the trial of the present case was not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he was entitled to the concession of bail.

3. The Counsel for the complainant admits the factum of compromise and states that he has no objection if the petitioner is granted



the concession of bail.

4. The Counsel for the State on the other hand submits that the nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner did not entitle him to the concession of bail. He however concedes that the petitioner was in custody since 09.02.2024 and that none of the 20 prosecution witnesses had been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, a compromise has been arrived at btween the parties. He is stated to be in custody since 09.02.2024 but none of the 20 Pws have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-**Sushil Kumar** son of Sh. Dinesh Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 30, 2024
Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No