

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18326-2017(O&M)
Date of decision: 29.01.2024

Satyapal Khatri
... Petitioner
Versus
The State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Satyapal Khatri, Advocate (petitioner-in-person).
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana.
Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 4-HSVP.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:

“Writ Petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ of certiorari for quashing the impugned recovery of enhancement notice dated 12.04.2017 (Anne P-3) by which respondent demand Rs. 619120/- @ 1364/- per sq. yard as enhancement against the plot No.2169, Sector – 7, Sonapat while no enhancement has been made by any Court after 7.1.2010 on which the first allotment letter was issued @ Rs. 7100/- per sq. meter while the calculation of the additional amount has been carried out by the respondents @ Rs.2284/- per sq. meter.”

Concededly, during the pendency of the petition, the respondent-authorities have revised the demand from Rs.6,19,120/- to Rs.2,17,610/- in April, 2017. It is not in dispute either that petitioner on his own volition had deposited the requisite amount pursuant to the revised demand in 2018 itself.

The petitioner, who is appearing in person, fairly submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

29.01.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
YES/NO