



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.22678 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision :06.11.2024

Sunil Gupta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present : Mr. J.S.Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G. Haryana with
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate.
Ms. Nitika Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioner, as always, fairly submits that despite repeated communications neither the petitioner nor anyone on his behalf has contacted his office for the past several years to pursue the matter and therefore, he pleads his inability to proceed with the matter. That being so, the Court is choiceless but to dismiss the petition for non-prosecution.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, it is made clear that in case any cause of action, dispute or interest still survives, the petitioner or his successor-in-interest shall be at liberty to move an appropriate application for restoration of the petition and its decision on merit.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

06.11.2024
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No