

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

138

2024:PHHC:054882
CR-3934-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 23.04.2024

PARVEEN BANSAL AND ANOTHER

..Petitioners

Versus

KAILASH AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. V.P. Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
and Mr. Nischal Chetanya Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. In this revision petition, the plaintiffs assail the correctness of the interlocutory order passed by the trial Court while allowing the application of respondent No.7 for impleadment on the ground that he is a necessary party.
2. The plaintiffs have filed a suit for decree of declaration that they are joint owners and for mandatory injunction for delivery of possession.
3. While filing the application, respondent No.7 claims that he along with his family are owners of the property and are in possession of 804 square yards, whereas, the plaintiffs are in possession of 1515 square yards.
4. The plaintiffs have made prayer for issuance of decree of mandatory injunction. Hence, respondent No.7 is a necessary party in order to watch his interest.
5. Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

- 6. Dismissed accordingly.
- 7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

April 23rd, 2024
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No