



CRWP-6494-2024

-1-

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6494-2024

Date of Decision: 11.07.2024

Neha and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate, for the petitioners

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 and 5 and to provide security to them keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case and further restraining respondents No.2 and 3 from taking criminal action against the petitioners in any case at the instance of respondents No.4 and 5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 and 5. Their marriage certificate as well as photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 05.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) to respondent no.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Sumeet Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,

accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction that in case any representation is filed by the petitioners before to respondent no.2- Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar, within 10 days from today, then respondent No.2 shall decide the same and grant protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

7. Disposed of.

11.07.2024

sharmila

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No