

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

203

CWP-19565-2019
Date of Decision: 06.02.2024

Santokh Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Vinay Puri, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Vijay Kumar Chaudhary, Senior Panel Counsel,
for Union of India-respondent Nos.1 and 2
Mr. Deepanjay Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to the respondents to re-issue him passport.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent-Union of India submits that application of the petitioner seeking renewal of passport was closed on 08.04.2019 and till date he has not filed fresh application, thus, petition has rendered infructuous.
3. Learned State counsel submits that FIR No.251 dated 22.10.2007 at Police Station Shahkot was registered against the petitioner and police after

completing investigation has filed cancellation report which is still pending before the Trial Court.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition and seeks liberty to file a fresh application before the Passport Authority.

5. Disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

06.02.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No