



CRWP-6535-2024

-1-

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6535-2024

Date of Decision:- 11.07.2024

Jashandeep Kaur and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

Jashandeep Kaur and Sukhwinder Singh have filed the present petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents No.2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 9 and other relatives and friends.

It is argued that both the petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 20 years, copy of school certificate showing her date of birth is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No.2 is aged about 21 years, copy of his aadhar card is Annexure P-2. They had performed marriage on 06.07.2024. Copy of their marriage certificate is Annexure P-3. The petitioners also moved representation to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran which is Annexure P-4. The petitioners had performed marriage without the



consent and wishes of parents and other relatives of petitioner No.1. The respondents No.4 to 9 are family members and relatives of petitioner No.1 from whom, the petitioners are receiving threats.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. Complete copy of the paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

Considering the nature of litigation no purpose would be served by serving notice to the private respondents.

I have considered the facts narrated in the petition, according to which both the petitioners have performed marriage against the wishes of their family as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No.4 to 9. It is the duty of police to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. Therefore, the respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider the representation of petitioners dated 07.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) and protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 to 9 considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

The aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or legal proceedings already going on.

Protection Petition is disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

11.07.2024
sunil devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No