



CWP-15540-2024 and
other connected cases

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108+110+111

CWP-15540-2024
Date of decision: 10.07.2024

Ranbir Singh and others
Versus
...Petitioners

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CWP-15568-2024
Narender Kumar and others
Versus
...Petitioners

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CWP-15577-2024
Manjit Kumar and others
Versus
...Petitioners

State of Haryana and others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ramesh Goyat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J (Oral)

1. These cases involve similar issues and therefore, are being disposed of together by this common judgment.
2. The prayer made in the present petitions is for directing the respondents to release differences of Over Time Allowance to the petitioners.
3. Learned counsel would submit that the petitioners had not been granted Over Time Allowance despite the fact that the claim being covered by the judgment passed in **State of Haryana and others vs. Sanjeev Kumar**



CWP-15540-2024 and
other connected cases

2

and others, LPA-1582-2023 and connected cases, decided on 23.04.2024, Annexure P-8, whereby the State of Haryana unsuccessfully challenged the judgment passed in the case of **Sanjeev Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and others**, CWP-697-2020, wherein it was noticed that the judgment in the case of **Brij Bhushan and others vs. State of Haryana and others**, CWP-3005-2018, decided on 01.02.2019, Annexure P-4, had attained finality and benefits were released. In this regard a demand notice dated 11.04.2024, Annexure P-7, has been served upon the respondents, which has yet not evoked any response. He thus, at this stage, on instructions, submits that the petitioners are sanguine of it being considered in a positive manner, in case, a direction is given to the respondents to decide the same in a time bound manner by taking into consideration the afore-referred judgments.

4. Notice of motion.

5. At the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made.

6. In view of the above and without commenting upon the merits of the case, these petitions are hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the demand notice dated 11.04.2024, Annexure P-7, by taking note of the afore-referred judgments, within a period of six months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner. Upon doing so, after notice and hearing offered to them and if found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to their interest, the same shall contain reasons and the petitioners shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.



CWP-15540-2024 and
other connected cases

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

10.07.2024
Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No