



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 3783 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.07.2024

Sant Ram
..... Petitioner

Versus

Suraj Mal and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner-defendant No. 5.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner–defendant No. 5, by way of present revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, seeks issuance of directions to Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rohtak, for deciding his *Review Application No. 110 of 2018*, titled “*Santram Versus Surajmal etc.*”, seeking to review the judgment and decree dated 03.04.2012, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Rohtak (**hereinafter to be referred as “trial Court”**) in *Civil Suit No. 206 of 2009*, instituted on 25.11.2005, titled “*Suraj Mal etc. Versus Gulab Singh & others*”, in a time bound manner.

[2] The case of petitioner-defendant No. 5 (Sant Ram) is that the plaintiffs, namely, Suraj Mal & Ram Rattan (respondent Nos. 1 & 2 respectively herein) filed a suit for declaration alongwith consequential relief of mandatory injunction and permanent injunction restraining defendant Nos. 1 to 7, including the petitioner, from interfering in the peaceful possession of the plaintiffs over the suit land, which was dismissed by the trial Court vide its

judgment and decree dated 03.04.2012. Aggrieved thereof, petitioner-defendant No. 5 filed a Review Application (supra) dated 20.07.2018 (Annexure P-3) for getting corrected khasra numbers mentioned in the civil suit filed at the instance of plaintiffs, which came up for hearing on 27.07.2018. The said Review Application is stated to be pending for 30.07.2024.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Review Application filed at the instance of petitioner is pending for the last about six years; thus, limited prayer is for issuance of directions to the Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rohtak, to decide the said application in a time bound manner.

[4] I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considered the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner.

[5] In the present case, the Review Application (supra) for getting corrected khasra numbers in civil suit filed at the instance of respondent Nos. 1 & 2 – plaintiffs, is pending since 27.07.2018. Hence, without commenting upon the merits of the controversy and keeping in view the limited prayer made in the present revision petition, the present revision petition is **disposed off** with a direction to the Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rohtak, to decide the said Review Application, filed at the instance of petitioner, seeking for correction in khasra numbers in civil suit (surpa), if possible, on the date fixed, i.e. 30.07.2024, or within a period of six months thereafter.

July 10, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No