


2024:PHHC:121526-DB



CWP-2867-2006 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.09.2024

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

Municipal Corporation, Bathinda and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present Mr. D.D. Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Sudan, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bhardwaj, Advocate for the respondents.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. Learned counsel states that petitioner – Anil Kumar has unfortunately expired during the pendency of the writ petition and he has received instructions from his wife, who does not want to further press the writ petition and the property tax is being duly deposited.
2. In view of the above, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.
3. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

**(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE**

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE**

16.09.2024
rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable? : Yes/No