



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15631 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.12.2024

SATISH KUMAR GOYAL AND ORS
.....Petitioners
Versus
UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER
.....Respondents

CWP-16320 of 2024 (O&M)

PARMIL KUMAR MITTAL AND OTHERS
.....Petitioners
Versus
UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS
.....Respondents

CWP-16699 of 2024 (O&M)

CHAMAN LAL KAPUR AND ORS
.....Petitioners
Versus
UNION OF INDIA AND ANOTHER
.....Respondents

CWP-16804 of 2024 (O&M)

KAILASH NATH PENTAL AND OTHERS
.....Petitioners
Versus
UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS
.....Respondents

CORAM : **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Varlin Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CWPs-15631-2024.

Mr. Manu K.Bhandari, Advocate
Mr. Rohit Kataria, Advocate, Mr. Manu Gaur, Advocate and
Mr. Arjun Sawhni, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP-16320-2024.



Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate,
Mr. Chandra Sekhar, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CWP-16699-2024.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Goklaney, Advocate and
Mr. Ashish Goklaney, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CWP-16804-2024.

Mrs. Urvashi Dhugga, Sr. Standing Counsel and
Ms. Samdisha Kaur, Advocate for the respondents-UIO.

Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate and
Ms. Rishu Bajaj, Advocate,
for the respondents-SBI.

* * * * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the parties are in agreement that the issue(s) and the question(s) of law raised through these petitions have been considered and decided on 27.11.2024 by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court in a bunch of petitions in which the lead case was ***CWP No.9426 of 2023 – Shila Devi and others Versus State of Punjab and others.***

2. In the light of the above, we dispose of all these petitions in terms of ***Shila Devi's case*** (supra) with the only modification that wherever in paragraphs 29 and 30 of the judgment in ***Shila Devi's case*** (supra) the reference is to the State of Punjab, the same be read as the Union of India/State Bank of India and in paragraph 31 of ***Shila Devi's case*** (supra), the recoveries permitted to be made in a staggered manner be made in only those cases where the recoveries are actually being made by the respondent (s)-Bank.



3. All pending miscellaneous application(s) also stand disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

04.12.2024
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No