



235

**CWP-15803-2018**

**Date of Decision : 03-12-2024**

VINEET KUMAR AND ORS

.....Petitioner(s)

## VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr.Arvind Galav, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satnampreet Singh Chauhan, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate with  
Mr. Lalit Goyal, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*

**HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the petitioners have already been taken back in service and the only grievance of the petitioners is that as to how the intervening period is being treated for which, the petitioners can approach the respondents by filing appropriate representation hence, liberty be given to the petitioners to approach the respondents for redressal of their grievance.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is received at the hands of the petitioners, the same will be

examined and an appropriate speaking order will be passed within a period of *two months* from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

03-12-2024  
Sapna Goyal

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

NOTE:        Whether speaking: YES/NO  
                  Whether reportable: YES/NO