



112 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA**
 AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6457-2024
Date of Decision:10.07.2024

RAJNI AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Ankit Bhinchar, Advocate for
Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are praying for direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to provide protection to their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents No.4 to 13.

2. In the petition, it has been stated that both the petitioners are into live-in-relationship which has been opposed by private respondents No.4 to 13.

3. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 a female aged more than 18 years is presently residing with petitioner No.2 a male aged about 20 years and they are into live-in-relationship against the wishes of respondents No.4 to 13 who are extending threats to cause physical harm to the petitioners and in this regard they gave representation dated 05.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka but the police authorities failed to take any action against the aforesaid private respondents.



4. Notice of motion.
5. Mr. Siddharth Attri, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and submits that in case both the petitioners are major and into live-in-relationship and have moved any representation then the concerned authority will look into the matter and take action in accordance with law in a given time frame.
6. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to look into the matter and decide representation dated 05.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law after verifying the facts and in case there is any such threat perception then to take necessary steps in this regard to protect life and liberty of the petitioners and necessary exercise be done by respondent No.2 within a period of one month of the receipt of certified copy of this order.
7. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 13 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

10.07.2024
Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
2. Whether reportable: Yes/No