



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

221

CRM-M-32684-2024

Date of decision: 24.09.2024

Sachin @ Gandhi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Dhruv Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Chhina, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate and
Mr. Gaurav Jain, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner in the instant (second) petition is seeking the concession of bail under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.592 dated 23.11.2021 under Sections 302, 307, 34, 120B, 201, 212 of the IPC and Sections 25, 27, 54, 59 of the Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station City Jind, District Jind.

2. At the outset, a query was put to the learned counsel for the petitioner as to what was the material change in circumstances after the withdrawal of the previous petition on 03.04.2024, in which the following order was passed:-

“After arguing for some time, when this Court was not inclined to accept the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner, a prayer was made by learned counsel for the petitioner for withdrawal of the instant petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.”, learned counsel has not been able to bring to the notice of this Court any material change in circumstances, which would warrant entertaining the instant petition.



CRM-M-32684-2024

Hence, on this ground alone, the instant petition deserves to be dismissed.

4. Dismissed.

24.09.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No