



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

216

CWP-21941-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.12.2024

RANVIR KAUR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB & ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Saurabh Arora Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that there were 02 posts in the general category which were to be filled up in the reserved category of Sports person as per the advertisement dated 23.09.2009 (Annexure P-1) but, only one post has been filled which is creating problem as, the petitioner who is at serial No.2 in the general category, is not being given appointment.

2. Upon notice of motion, the respondents has stated that there were only 02 posts which are to be filled up from the sports category, one from general category and one from reserved category and both the posts have already been filled up and there is no other post to accommodate the petitioner and therefore, the claim of the petitioner is liable to be rejected.

3. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.



4. Once, the respondents are on record to say that only 02 posts were to be filled up in the category in which the petitioner was competing i.e. the sports category and in the said category, out of the 02 posts, one was to be filled from general category and the second was from the reserved. The petitioner was at serial No.2 in the merit list of the general category and the candidate at serial No.1 has already been appointed and the other post advertised has also been filled up from the reserved category candidate. Therefore, the prayer of the petitioner that there are 02 posts in the general category under the sports category and the second post should be given to her cannot be accepted. Once, the department is on record that only 01 post is to be filled up in the general category, the assertion of the petitioner that 02 posts should be filled up from the general category instead of one being given to the reserved category, cannot be accepted.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

6. All pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

December 02, 2024
Nisha Yadav

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No