



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 108)

LPA No.1608 of 2024

Date of decision: 18.07.2024

Kamna

..... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA**

Present : Mr. G. S. Bajwa, Advocate for the appellant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

(1) The present intra court appeal is directed against the judgment dated 15.05.2024 passed by a learned Single Judge of this Court dismissing the appellant's writ petition filed by her through which she had sought appointment as Supervisor (Female) after conversion of a vacant post advertised under the Ex-serviceman BC-B category to BC-B category.

(2) After hearing learned counsel for the appellant and after examining the record which includes the impugned judgment, we find that in pursuance to advertisement No.07/2016 got published by the State of Haryana, the appellant had applied for consideration of her candidature for appointment as a Supervisor (Female) under the BC-B category in which category there were 11 posts advertised. It is conceded that all the 11 posts in the BC-B category have been filled up by candidates who had applied under the BC-B category and who were more meritorious than the appellant. In that eventuality the appellant sought



appointment against a vacant post of Supervisor (Female) advertised under the Ex-serviceman BC-B category but such claim of the appellant was negated by the State, which action of the State, through the impugned judgment, was upheld by the learned Single Judge on the ground that the appellant was not an applicant under the Ex-serviceman BC-B category and because that vacancy had been offered on merit to one Indu Bala, an applicant under the Ex-serviceman BC-B category, who had not responded to the said offer. However, by the time the aforesaid Indu Bala had refused to join, the validity of the waiting list had expired bringing a quietus to the entire selection. The learned Single Judge further opined that even if the validity of the waiting list had not expired, the next offer, after Indu Bala would have been made to one Anita Devi who was the next candidate on the waiting list under the Ex-serviceman BC-B category and therefore, from all angles the appellant's claim was unsustainable.

(3) In the light of the above facts, the findings returned by the learned Single Judge do not warrant interference.

(4) Dismissed.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

18.07.2024

sunil yadav

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No