



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32735-2024

Date of Decision:16.07.2024

Rajeshwar

...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and Anr

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Ranjit Singh Ghuman, Advocate for the petitioner.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439(2) of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to set aside the impugned order dated 30.05.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak, whereby, the concession of bail has been granted to respondent No. 2 in case FIR No. 156 dated 30.04.2022 under Sections 120-B, 34, 406 and 420 IPC registered at Police Station Urban Estate Rohtak, District Rohtak.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner at length.

3. After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner at length, when the Court is not inclined to set-aside the impugned order, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn.

5. However, it is clarified that the observations made by Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak, while granting the concession of bail to respondent No. 2 have been made only for the limited purpose of

disposal of the bail petition filed by respondent No. 2 and shall not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

6. The trial Court shall decide the trial proceedings on the strength of the evidence led by both the sides.

16.07.2024
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No