



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1107

CWP-22507 of 2014

Date of Decision:22.10.2024

Bhoop Singh

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for grant of full salary for the period of suspension by treating as spent on duty.

2. Learned State counsel refers to paragraphs No. 2 and 3, which read thus:

“2. That after granting the 1st ACP to the petitioner on 01.10.1999, when the case of 2nd ACP of the petitioner was put-up, it came to the notice that the 1st ACP was given to the petitioner wrongly by the concerned official because under Rule 7 charge-sheet of suspension was pending in the accident case of year 1991, against the petitioner.

3. That the petitioner submitted an application on 06.08.2010 in which he submitted that the case of the suspension period may be decided and he will accept the punishment, if any, passed by the competent authority. A copy of said application is annexed as Annexure R-1/T. Keeping in view of the submission the petitioner, sympathetically, the case of the suspension period was decided

accordingly and the order dated 06.04.2012 was passed. The period from 29.11.1991 to 31.03.1992 was restricted to subsistence allowance only. Thus the 2nd ACP was given to the petitioner w.e.f. 01.05.2012, vide order dated 25.10.2012. The said action of the respondent was neither wrong nor illegal.”

3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of, reserving the petitioner with a liberty to get it revived, in case need arises.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

October 22, 2024
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No