



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

101

CWP-15767-2024
Date of decision: 12.07.2024

Modern ITI Bathinda
...Petitioner

Versus

Union Of India and others
...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate and
Ms. Manisha Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jastej Singh, DAG Punjab

DEEPAK SIBAL, J.(ORAL)

A perusal of the impugned order dated 29.05.2024 passed by respondent No.3 reveals that the petitioner’s application dated 02.04.2024 filed by it to respondent No.3 seeking therein affiliation to run more units in the Dual System Training Course for Electrician and Wireman Trades has been rejected through an order which contains no reasons whatsoever.

Every order must be backed by sound reasons as they ensure that the decision is not a result of whim or fancy of its author and that the same is just. Also that in the absence of reasons, it would be extremely difficult to ascertain the correctness of the order appealed or petitioned against.

In the light of the above, the impugned order dated 29.05.2024 (Annexure P-6) is set aside with a further direction to respondent No.3 to pass a fresh order on the petitioner’s representation dated 02.04.2024 (Annexure P-5) through a speaking order and after granting opportunity of hearing to him.

Needful be done within 10 days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Disposed of.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

12.07.2024
Sapna

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No