



CRWP-6422-2024

-1-

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6422-2024

Date of Decision: 24.09.2024

Najrin and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms.Sunita Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners endangered at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7 who are against the affair and live-in-relationship of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in pursuance to the order dated 15.07.2024, the parties appeared before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, however, the same remained unsuccessful. She submits that both the petitioners are major. It is further submitted that the petitioners apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 7. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 04.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) to respondent no.2 for granting protection to their life and liberty.

3. Status report has already been filed.

4. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a

direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Palwal, to decide the representation (Annexure P-3) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

5. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of their live-in relationship.

24.09.2024			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No