



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(113)

CWP No. 15446 of 2024

Date of Decision : 09.07.2024

Budh Ram Bansal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Chahat Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that after the petitioner had retired from service on 31.05.2011, he had got the benefit of commutation of his pension.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the period of commutation has already expired but respondents are still paying the petitioner the commuted value of pension, which is arbitrary and illegal and the respondents are under obligation to restore the full pension for which the petitioner is entitled for.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated Nil (Annexure P-2) but the same is still pending consideration with the



respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated Nil (Annexure P-2) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed, which will be conveyed to the petitioner.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

July 09, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No