



**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-17493-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 31.05.2024

Adnan Ali Khan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Lovish Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajeev K. Takkar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. S.P. Garg, Advocate, for
respondent No.3.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

CM-9396-CWP-2024

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed.
Date of hearing in the main case is preponed for today. The applicant is allowed
to withdraw the present petition. Main case is taken up on board for hearing
today itself.

Main case

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to the
respondents, especially respondent No.3 to supply a copy of duly sanctioned
building plan submitted by the petitioner on 14.03.2020, which has not been
supplied to the petitioner in spite of the fact that according to the provisions of
Section 193 (1-a) of the Punjab Municipal Act, 1911, respondent No.3 could
refuse the sanction, if any, within a period of 60 days failing which the
application shall be deemed to have been sanctioned and further respondent
No.3 be directed to allow the petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs.8078.63 p. which
has been shown to be recoverable from the petitioner on account of various
heads like Malba Fees, Water charges and Development Charges.

In view of the prayer made in CM-9396-CWP-2024, the present
petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

31.05.2024

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No