



CRWP-6727-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

CRWP-6727-2024

Date of Decision:- 24.07.2024

Raajwinder Kaur and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Vishnu Dutt, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Raajwinder Kaur and Sukhwinder Singh have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No.2 & 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No.4 & 5 as they are in live-in relationship with their own sweet will.
2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 36 years, she alleged that her husband Kulwant Singh has expired and she is mother of one child. Name of her husband is confirmed from her aadhar card which is Annexure P-1. However, death certificate of her husband is not placed on record.
3. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 32 years, copy of his aadhar card is Annexure P-2. He is also a married man who claimed that his divorce petition is pending for disposal. They are in live-in relationship. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Ludhiana dated 27.06.2024 which is Annexure P-3. Petitioners are apprehending



CRWP-6727-2024

-2-

threat from Paramjit Singh and Gurmeet Kaur (brother and sister of wife of petitioner No.2).

- 4. Notice of motion.
- 5. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
- 6. Considering the nature of litigation no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
- 7. I have considered facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and are in live-in relationship, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No.4 & 5. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 & 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 27.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) and considering threat perception if any, pass appropriate orders.
- 8. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality of their relationship and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
- 9. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
- 10. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

24.07.2024

Sunil Devi

**(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No