

including arrears along with 12% interest. CWP-16673-2017 has been filed by two petitioners whereas CWP-9656-2018 has been filed by petitioner-Murti Devi.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the case of the petitioners is covered by a judgment of a Coordinate Bench of this Court decided on 13.03.2024 of which lead case is **CWP-2158-2020 titled as Ashish Sharma and others Vs. State of Haryana and others** and has further submitted that the petitioners would give detailed representations to the competent authority of respondent No.1-State and has prayed that the competent authority of respondent No.1-State be directed to consider the said representations in a time bound manner and while considering the same, also consider the abovesaid judgment passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***Ashish Sharma's case*** (Supra) and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, to grant necessary relief, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State Counsel has submitted that in case any such representations are given, the same would be considered by the competent authority of respondent No.1-State, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representations.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of with direction to the competent authority of respondent No.1-State to consider the abovesaid representations, in accordance with law, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the abovesaid representations and while

considering the same, also consider the abovesaid judgment passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in *Ashish Sharma’s case* (Supra) and in case, the pleas raised by the petitioners are found to be meritorious, then, the competent authority would grant necessary relief, in accordance with law and in case, the competent authority is of the opinion that the pleas raised by the petitioners are not meritorious, then, a speaking order rejecting the same be passed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the said representations.

5. This Court has not opined on the merits of the case and the competent authority of respondent No.1-State would consider the case of the petitioners independently, in accordance with law.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

07.05.2024
Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether reportable:-	Yes/No