

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-15322-2024

Date of decision: 16.12.2024

NEELAM

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LTD AND ORS.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Amarjeet Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.

Ms. Priya Kaushik, Advocate for
Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for respondent No.5.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 4 submitted that now it has been decided not to recover any amount from the petitioner and the order of recovery has been withdrawn by the respondent-Nigam. She further submitted that so far as the amount of Rs.80,000/- which was recovered from the petitioner is concerned, the same has already been refunded to her.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the statement made by the learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 4, the present writ petition may be disposed of as having become infructuous.
3. Ordered accordingly.

16.12.2024

Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No