

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CWP-21787-2014

Date of Decision : 16.04.2024

SUNIL DUTT SHARMA

... PETITIONER

Versus

SANT LONGOWAL INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY
& ANR

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.P.S.Miglani, Advocate for
Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 28.10.2024, the following order was passed:

“The petitioner was appointed as Lab Attendant and now he has been designated as Multi Tasking Staff (MTS) in the respondent-institute. The daughter of the petitioner is 100% handicapped as having disability of MUSCULAR DYSTIOPLY DUCHENES and due to that she is unable to walk and stand. Keeping in view the condition of her daughter, the petitioner was allotted Quarter No. 55, Type III on medical grounds vide office order dated 26.04.2012. Now after lapse of two years vide impugned order dated 26.08.2014, the respondents have directed

to the petitioner to shift to new accommodation i.e Quarter No. 31, Type II (Ground Floor) and to vacate the earlier accommodation allotted on medical ground within a period of two months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the quarter was initially allotted considering the medical requirement of his physically handicapped daughter. In case, if the quarter now sought to be allotted is allotted to him, it would cause great difficulty and hardship to his handicapped daughter in view of her disability.

Notice of motion for 23.03.2015.

In the meantime, operation of the order (Annexure P-6) shall remain stayed.”

2. Mr. Karan Singh Sandhu, Advocate confirms that the petitioner is staying in the house in question since the date of allotment. He expressed his inability to controvert the fact that daughter of the petitioner is a 100% disabled child.
3. The petitioner is staying in the house in question for more than a decade, thus, at this stage, it would not be appropriate to disturb his location especially when no prejudice is going to be caused to the respondents. Thus, interim order is made absolute.
4. Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.04.2024
anju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>