

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

213CWP No. 21755-2014  
Date of Decision: 22.03.2024

Shikha Sharma.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Harpal Singh Sirohi, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Mahipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, in the nature of Certiorari to quash the order dated 14.10.2014 (Annexure P-7).
2. Learned counsel submits that as stated in Para No. 5 of the reply filed by the State, the impugned order has been withdrawn. However, there are certain benefits, for which the petitioner is entitled and would file a representation, which may be directed to decide in a time bound manner.
3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. However, for the ancillary prayer, in case a representation is submitted in four weeks, the same be decided expeditiously.

22.03.2024(AMAN CHAUDHARY)  
Rajeev (rvs)JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasonedYes/No  
Whether reportableYes/No