



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

271

CWP-15290-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 23.09.2024

Manju

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Pathak, Advocate for respondent No.1-UIO
(through V.C.).

Mr. Jatin Khatri, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3
(through V.C.).

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 27.09.2023 passed by respondent No.3-Deputy Superintendent of Police, National Investigating Agency, New Delhi vide which the premises of the petitioner had been locked after conducting the search and keys have been deposited with respondent No.4-SHO, PS Nakodar City, Jalandhar Rural.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 contends that they have already handed over the keys of the premises of the petitioner to respondent No.4 and they have no objection to the keys being handed back to the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel contends that she had been informed by the officer that keys have been handed over, however, since the officer is not here, statement in this regard cannot be made. Be that as it may, in view of



271 CWP-15290-2024 (O&M)

the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, respondent No.4 is directed to hand over the keys of the ceased premises/house of the petitioner, if not returned already, within a period of one week from today.

4. The petition is accordingly allowed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

23.09.2024
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No