

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 33835 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 24.01.2024**

Ankit Singh and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Narender Pal Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No. 1.

Ms. Pushpinder Kaur, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, by way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeks quashing of FIR No. 112 dated 10.03.2022 (Annexure P-1) under Section 10 of Emigration Act and Sections 406 & 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Gandhi Nagar, Yamuna Nagar, alongwith all consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise / settlement dated 04.05.2023 (Annexure P-2).

[2] Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the parties have settled their dispute and entered into settlement dated 04.05.2023 (P-2) before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, which has been signed by both the parties and their respective counsels. It is also stated that the compromise effected between the parties is genuine, voluntary and

without any coercion or undue influence; thus prayer is for quashing of the FIR (supra).

[3] Thus once, the compromise has been arrived at between the parties without any pressure and respondent No. 2 having no objection as regards quashing of FIR as well as all other subsequent proceedings arising out of the same against the petitioners; there does not appear to be any impediment as regards quashing of present FIR *qua* the petitioners. Even otherwise, in order to maintain peace and harmony between the parties, particularly under the present circumstances wherein the alleged offences have no societal interest involved, it would be appropriate to render complete quietus to the aforementioned dispute by quashing the FIR on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

[4] The parties having settled their dispute so as to live in peace in future, no useful purpose would be served by proceeding further with the criminal proceedings. In the light of above developments, no cause remains for the Trial Court to invest further time and effort in adjudicating this FIR. The compromise in question is even found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in *Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*.

[5] Thus, in view of the aforesaid facts, accompanied by statements of both the parties as well as keeping in mind the law laid down in the aforementioned judgments, the petition is **allowed** and the FIR (supra) as well as all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed *qua* the petitioners.

[6] The aforesaid order shall, however, be subject to payment of costs of Rs. 5,000/- to be deposited by the petitioners with the Poor Patients’ Welfare Fund of PGIMER, Chandigarh, within a period of two weeks from today.

January 24, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>