

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

226

CWP-21712 of 2014
Date of Decision: 20.01.2024

Arun Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court-III, Faridabad and another
....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Diwan S. Adhlakha, Advocate for
Mr. Gorav Kathuria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. It is noticed that there was continuous absence on behalf of the petitioner on 07.09.2018, 18.03.2019, 06.08.2019, 22.01.2020 and 04.08.2023. Only on the previous date of hearing i.e. 12.10.2023, petitioner was represented by his counsel. Today, Mr. Diwan S. Adhlakha, Advocate appears on behalf of Mr. Gorav Kathura, Advocate and submits that the arguing counsel has no instructions in the present case as the brief of the case has also been taken away by his client.

2. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for non-proseuction.

3. In case, any cause of action still survives or any substantial question for its decision is involved, petitioner will be at

liberty to move an application for revival of the present petition within
eight weeks from today.

January 20, 2024
rashmi

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no