

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-6395-2024

Date of decision:08.07.2024

RAMMI CHAND**.... Petitioner****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****.... Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**


Present : Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.
(*Through Video Conference*).

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the official respondents No.1 to 4 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 5 to 10 and take action on their representations dated 12.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) and 14.06.2024 (Annexure P-4).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner has filed representations on 12.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) and 14.06.2024 (Annexure P-4). before respondents No.3 and 4 raising his grievance as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would feel satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondents No.3 and 4 to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.



CRWP-6395-2024

-3-

3.

Notice of motion.
4.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab. who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.
5.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondents No. 3 and 4 to decide the aforesaid representations dated 12.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) and 14.06.2024 (Annexure P-4).of the petitioners expeditiously, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

08.07.2024
Jyoti-IV

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No.
Whether reportable :	Yes/No