

**CWP-15168-2024**

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH****CWP-15168-2024****Date of decision: 08.07.2024**

Mohar Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL****Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.**

\*\*\*\*

**ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)**

A Mandamus is prayed for, to command the respondent authorities to issue fresh demand notices for deposit of re-calculated amount of additional price, per letter(s) dated 31.08.2020 (P-2 and P-3), based upon instructions dated 22.08.2019 (P-3/A).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners No.1 to 3 and 5 are the sons and daughter of Raja Ram, who was allotted a plot/site No.595, measuring 135 square meters, Sector-3 (part-I), Fatehabad, on 26.10.1989. He submits that the limited grievance that the petitioners have is: an additional demand @ Rs.5001/- per square meter has been raised, owing to enhancement in the compensation of the acquired land, vide the sixth demand notice issued by the respondent authorities in September, 2017. He asserts that, in fact, in the wake of the instructions dated 22.08.2019 (P-3/A), notice dated 27.08.2017 (P-1) and other similar notices have paled into insignificance. Therefore, it is urged that the demand that is sought to be raised is wholly misconceived. So much so, it is submitted that even the representations dated 16.12.2019 (P-6), 16.01.2020 (P-7), 12.02.2020 (P-8), 13.03.2020 (P-9) and 05.04.2021 (P-11), the respondents authorities have been served with, have failed to evoke any response.

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. At



the outset, he, on instructions, submits, for the competent authority is already in seisin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioners, it would be expedient if this petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent authorities to consider and pass necessary orders on their representations (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioners shall be heard. And a formal communication, in this regard, shall also be issued to them.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful, within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of six weeks from today.

In the wake of the position sketched out above and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)  
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)  
JUDGE

08.07.2024  
Ak Sharma

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes    |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |