



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-31948-2024

Date of decision: 21.11.2024

Amarjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Manjinder Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.84 dated 11.04.2022 under Sections 306, 506, 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station Model Town, Hoshiarpur.

2. On 08.07.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had issued notice of motion:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that false and fabricated allegations have been levelled against the petitioner on account of he being a close relative of the estranged wife of the deceased. While drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR in question which has been annexed as Annexure P-1, it has been submitted that a perusal of the said allegations do not even remotely invite the mischief of an offence under Section 306 of the IPC. It has also been

CRM-M-31948-2024

submitted that even as per the contents of the suicide note (Annexure P-2) left behind by the deceased it has been merely alleged that the petitioner along with co-accused had abused the deceased and had not allowed his wife to accompany him, which could not be said to fall within the definition of abetment under Section 107 of the IPC.”

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 09.08.2024, the petitioner had been granted interim bail and asked to join investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 09.08.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Gagandeep Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 09.08.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS/438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

21.11.2024
Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No