

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

379/2

CRM-M-32038-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 30.07.2024

BEANT SINGH AND ORS

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. A.S. Barnala, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG Punjab.


Mr. N.J.S. Dandiwal, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioners seek quashing of DDR (Annexure P-1) registered in FIR No.87 dated 08.06.2024 under Sections 452, 324, 323, 148 and 149 of the IPC at Police Station City South, District Moga (Annexure P-2) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 12.06.2024 (Annexure P-3) executed between the parties. Details of the DDR are as follows:

DDR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
04	09.06.2024	324, 323, 148, 149 IPC	City South, District Moga

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 12.06.2024 (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to



report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Moga vide report dated 25.07.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.15,000/- in compliance to the order dated 08.07.2024.

3. Respondent Nos.2 to 4 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. DDR No.04 dated 09.06.2024 under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149 of IPC registered at Police Station City South, District Moga (Annexure P-1) registered in FIR No.87 dated 08.06.2024 under Sections 452, 324, 323, 148 and 149 of the IPC at Police Station City South, District Moga and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

30.07.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |