



209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3467-2012(O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.09.2024

Raj Kumari

....Petitioner

Versus

H.V.P.N.L and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Nikita Goel, Advocate, for for respondents

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

Both the learned counsels for the parties are *ad idem* that the prayer of the petitioner with regard to the counting of work charge service for the purpose of pensionary benefits is covered by a Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kesar Chand versus State of Punjab, 1988 (5) SLR 27*. They have also submitted that the second prayer of the petitioner with regard to payment of Rs. 2,50,000/- as financial assistance, which according to the learned counsel for the petitioner has not been granted but according to the learned counsel for the respondents, the record of the aforesaid is not available.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, respondent No.2 is hereby directed to pass a detailed speaking order with regard to the aforesaid two grievances within a period of three months from today. In case



the petitioner is found entitled for the grant of the aforesaid two grievances,
then the petitioner shall be granted all the consequential benefits alongwith
interest @ 6 % per annum (simple).

24.09.2024

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No