



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

202

CRM-M-31674-2024
Decided on :04.10.2024

Deepak Sharma
... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana
... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL
PRESENT: Mr. Sarthak Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.93 dated 04.03.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 308, 365 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (offence under Sections 148, 149, 323, 120-B and 34 IPC was added later on) registered at Police Station Meham, District Rohtak.
2. On 08.07.2024, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Inter alia, contends that the present petition is the second petition for anticipatory bail and the first petition for anticipatory bail was dismissed as withdrawn on 19.06.2024 with liberty to file a fresh petition after giving full and better particulars and in effect the present petition is the first petition for anticipatory bail. It is submitted that in the



present case, the petitioner is not named in the FIR and only one Rahul has been named in the FIR and that the victim and complainant have compromised the matter with the said Rahul and for the said purpose, he has referred to the order dated 18.04.2024 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge at Rohtak, granting bail to the said Rahul and another co-accused Sahil, in which, the argument of the said accused to the effect that the settlement has been arrived has been noticed in para 4 of the order and it has further been noticed in para 6 of the said order that the complainant Neelam as well as injured Ankit have no objection in case the applicants/accused are granted bail. It is further submitted that in the present case, no grievous injury has been caused to the injured Ankit and that the petitioner is ready to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion for 04.10.2024.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the abovesaid order dated 08.07.2024, the petitioner has joined the investigation.



4. Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances moreso, the facts which have been noticed in abovesaid order dated 08.07.2024 and also the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for further custodial interrogation, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 08.07.2024 is ordered to be made absolute.

6. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the observations made in the present case which are only for the purpose of adjudicating the present bail application.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

04.10.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No