



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.32049 of 2024
Date of decision: 9th September, 2024

Rakesh Yadav & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State (UT Chandigarh) & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, Public Prosecutor UT Chandigarh with
Mr. Shubham Mangla, Advocate
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Sukhpal Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.154 dated 13.12.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 147, 148, 149, 324 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Section 326 IPC added later on) pertaining to Police Station Mauli Jagran, Chandigarh along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of amicable settlement (Annexure P-2 & P-3) dated 15.03.2024 arrived at between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 08.07.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Chandigarh, in pursuance of the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the



parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the UT Chandigarh too, on instructions from SI Malkit Singh, submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Chandigarh and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioners, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

September 9, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No