

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

232-A

CWP No. 16091 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.03.2024

Nirmal Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora and
Mr. Jugam Arora, Advocates for the petitioners

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, A.A.G, Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari/mandamus or such other appropriate writ, order or directions for quashing the action of the respondents in not releasing/finalizing the ACP cases of the petitioners in their respective cadre.

2. Learned counsel submits that during the pendency of the present petition, all the petitioners except Nos.4 and 24 have been granted ACP scales. However, the higher pay scale has not been granted in terms of the scheme, Annexure P-5, for which he submits that they shall file a representation, which may be directed to be decided in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.

4. In view of the aforesaid and without commenting upon the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with a direction that in case petitioner

Nos.4 and 24, submit a representation within a period of one month, substantiating

their claim with documents, the same shall be considered and decided by the respondents taking into account the pleas raised, within a period of six months and if found entitled, necessary benefit be granted to them forthwith. However, in the eventuality of the relief being denied, a speaking order be passed, after associating them therewith.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

12.03.2024
G Arora

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No